For the Northern District of California

26

27

28

1	E-filed on <u>8/1/07</u>	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11 12	IN RE CYGNUS TELECOMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY, LLC, PATENT	No. MDL-1423 (C-04-04247 RMW)
13	LITIGATION	
14	THIS ORDER RELATES TO:	ORDER GRANTING IN PART AT&T'S
15	CYGNUS TELECOMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY, LLC,	MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME
16	Plaintiff,	
17	V.	
18	AT&T CORP.,	
19 20	Defendant.	
21		
22		
23	This court entered final judgment in the above matter on July 12, 2007. On Thursday, Jul	
24	26, 2007, plaintiff filed a motion to alter or amend final judgment under Federal Rule of Civil	
25	Procedure 59(e), setting the hearing for August 31, 2007.	

On Friday, July 27, 2007, defendant AT&T Corp., after unsuccessfully seeking from Cygnus a stipulation to move the briefing and hearing dates, moved pursuant to Local Rule 6-3 to shorten time on Cygnus's motion to alter or amend judgment. AT&T transmitted its motion to Cygnus via

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AT&T'S MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME—No. MDL-1423 (C-04-04247 RMW)

email that same day. Local Rule 6-3(c) provides that a party who opposes such a motion must file an opposition "no later than the third day after receiving the motion." Cygnus timely filed its opposition on Wednesday, August 1, 2007.

The court has considered AT&T's motion to shorten time and Cygnus's opposition thereto. Cygnus argues that the motion was brought for an improper purpose because (1) AT&T's true motive is to shorten the length of time before it can move for attorney's fees and (2) AT&T is not actually concerned with obtaining a final appealable judgment in time for it to participate with other litigants in Cygnus's appeal to the Federal Circuit of this court's judgments in this multi-district litigation that include a finding that the patents-in-suit are invalid under the on-sale bar. The court is not persuaded that AT&T's motive is as Cygnus contends.

The court hereby grants AT&T's motion to shorten time in part as follows: Cygnus's reply to AT&T's opposition to Cygnus's motion to alter or amend the judgment shall be due Wednesday, August 8, 2007. Because AT&T filed its opposition to Cygnus's motion on July 27, 2007, this shortened briefing schedule gives plaintiff even more than the week generally allotted on a noticed motion to reply to an opposition – it gives Cygnus a week from the date of this order.

Because the court deems the motion to alter or amend appropriate for submission on the papers, it vacates the currently-scheduled August 31, 2007 hearing date and will submit the matter on the papers upon the filing of Cygnus's reply. The court will issue a ruling on or shortly after August 8, 2007.

DATED: 8/1/07

RONALD M. WHYTE
United States District Judge

A copy of this order was mailed on <u>8/1/07</u> to:		
Counsel for Plaintiff:		
John P. Sutton 2421 Pierce Street		
San Francisco, CA 94115-1131		
Defendants:		
Felice B. Galant; Joseph P. Zammit Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P.		
666 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10103-3198		
		Gregory B. Wood Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P. 865 South Figures Street, 20th Floor
865 South Figueroa Street, 29th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017		
Courtesy Copy:		
Clerk of the Panel Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building One Columbus Circle, N.E. Room G-255, North Lobby Washington, D.C. 20002-8004		
	w ashington, D.C. 20002-8004	
	Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this order to co-counsel, as necessary	